Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis —
Symptoms and Treatment

The most prominent complications of having liver diseases such as cirrhosis include ascites. Derived from the Greek word “askos” which means “sack”, ascites is characterized as the collection of fluid in the peritoneal cavity. Aside from liver diseases, it can also be caused by a wide range of reasons necessitating different means of definitive management. Therefore, the identification of the nature and development of the fluid collection in the peritoneal space of a specific patient is very important. Once the population of bacteria in the intestinal lumen reaches certain numbers, they tend to translocate to the mesenteric lymph nodes, leading to the eventual invasion and colonization of the bacteria in the ascitic fluid.

Pathogenesis of Ascites
Cirrhotic ascites: the peripheral arterial vasodilation hypothesis

As mentioned, ascites can be a secondary manifestation of liver cirrhosis. The latest theory that is being adapted by most physicians to understand the development of ascites in this condition is the **peripheral arterial vasodilation hypothesis**.

The collection of fluid in the peritoneal fluid among patients with liver cirrhosis starts as the portal pressure increases. The high pressure in the **portal circulation** causes an increase in the production of circulating **nitric oxide**, a potent vasodilator, in an effort to normalize pressure.

However, this also results to the **vasodilation** of the more peripheral **blood vessels**, causing a compensative increase in the body’s effort to conserve blood volume and increase systemic blood pressure all the more. The production of **vasoconstrictors** and **sodium-retentive substances** in the body increases. However, there is a tendency for the function of the **kidney** to seize, causing a **decompensation**.

**Noncirrhotic ascites**

Since there are a lot of factors that can trigger the development of ascites in patients, many mechanisms could also explain the pathophysiology underlying each case. Most of these are related to **malignancies**.

Ascites secondary to malignancies can have many explanations, each depending on the location of the **tumor**. In a condition called **carcinomatosis**, tumor cells are widespread in the body, including the **peritoneal cavity**. These tumor cells can produce fluids that are high in proteins, causing the oncotic pressure in the peritoneal cavity to rise.
In order to somehow neutralize the gradient between the intravascular and peritoneal pressures, water is drawn out from the intravascular space and into the peritoneal cavity, leading to the fluid accumulation in the space.

For patients having primary liver tumors and liver metastases, the ascites can be explained in a similar fashion with that caused by cirrhosis. Tumor cells could block any part of the portal circulation, leading to the same mechanism used to describe how portal hypertension causes ascites.

For other forms of malignancies such as lymphomas, ascites can develop as lymph vessels and lymph nodes are occluded by tumor cells. The normal drainage of excess peritoneal fluid is impaired. Additionally, some lymphatic vessels could rupture, causing fatty fluid to leak out and cause imbalances in the pressures between the intravascular and peritoneal spaces.

Still, other non-malignancy-related factors could cause ascites in many different ways. The ectopic production of protein-rich fluid in tuberculosis and the leakage of fluid from the bloodstream to the peritoneal space are only a few of the mechanisms.

Classification of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis

There are 5 determinants used in order to classify the type of ascetic fluid infection present in a patient. These include:

- Results of the peritoneal fluid culture
- Polymorphonuclear cell count
- Presence of any surgical source of infection

The 5 classifications of ascitic fluid infection are monomicrobial non-neutrocytic bacterascites, culture-negative neutrocytic ascites, polymicrobial non-neutrocytic bacterascites, secondary bacterial peritonitis and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.

In order for a diagnosis of monomicrobial non-neutrocytic bacterascites can be made, there has to be a positive culture for an organism from samples taken from the peritoneal fluid despite a polymorphonuclear count lower than 250 cells/mm$^2$ in the peritoneal fluid and the absence of any breaks in the integrity of the intra-abdomen. This classification is previously called asymptomatic bacterascites but was later changed because some patients do exhibit manifestations related to the condition.

Culture-negative neutrocytic ascites are diagnosed when there is a polymorphonuclear cell count greater than 250 cells/mm$^2$ but with a negative peritoneal fluid culture result and no apparent explanation for the rise in the polymorphonuclear count. No antibiotics should have been given before coming up with this classification.

When there is a positive culture result in the presence of an elevated polymorphonuclear cell count (greater than 250 cells/mm$^2$) and a surgically treatable source of intraabdominal infection, secondary bacterial peritonitis is used as a classification. This usually requires emergency surgical treatment.

There are polymicrobial bacterascites when there is more than one organism identified in the culture of the peritoneal fluid despite a low count of polymorphonuclear cells (less than 250 cells/mm$^2$). In this classification, a traumatic or a problematic paracentesis is usually implicated. The presence of many types of organisms in the peritoneal fluid could indicate bowel perforation by the paracentesis syringe.
Finally, a diagnosis of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis is made when there is a positive culture in the ascitic fluid and a polymorphonuclear cell count of more than 250 cells/mm². Both of these are observed without the presence of a surgical break in the intra-abdominal space. What differentiates this classification to secondary bacterial peritonitis is that this classification does not need emergency surgical management as the infection is not caused by a visible focus of infection (abscesses, intestinal perforation, etc.)

Pathogenesis of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis

The most recent body of evidence suggest that the development spontaneous bacterial peritonitis starts when there is bacterial overgrowth in the intestinal lumen. Once the population of the bacteria reaches certain numbers, they tend to translocate to the mesenteric lymph nodes, leading to the eventual invasion and colonization of the bacteria in the ascitic fluid.

It has been said that peritoneal fluid containing sufficient opsonizing capabilities are resilient to bacterial invasion. This is correlated with the protein concentration of the peritoneal fluid aspirate. Therefore, patients with peritoneal fluid aspirate with a low protein concentration tend to be susceptible to spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.

Clinical manifestations of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis

Most of the time, the signs and symptoms of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis are visible but are often subtle at the time of diagnosis. An example of the manifestations that could alert a patient with ascites for a possible infection is a slight change in mental status. This fact also contributes to the morbid and even fatal nature of this condition because it could delay the prompt management needed for these patients. Any delays in the diagnosis and treatment of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis could result in the death of the patient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom or Sign</th>
<th>Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis</th>
<th>Bacterascites</th>
<th>Culture-positive Non-neutrocytic Ascites</th>
<th>Secondary Peritonitis</th>
<th>Polymicrobial Bacterascites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal Pain</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal Tenderness</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebound Tenderness</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered Mental Status</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Common signs and symptoms of ascitic infections. Table adapted from Sleisenger & Fordtrans Gastrointestinal and Liver Disease, 9th ed. by Feldman M, Friedman LS and Brandt LJ.

Causative agents

In culture-positive diagnoses such as spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and monobacterial non-neutrocytic bacterascites, the most commonly associated microorganism include
Escherichia coli, Streptococci and Klebsiella. They are most active in causing disease among patients who have not undergone selective intestinal decontamination. (Patients who do undergo decontamination often have **Gram-positive organisms** cultured from their peritoneal aspirate.)

As mentioned, spontaneous bacterial peritonitis and secondary bacterial peritonitis differ in significant ways, one being how many types of bacteria are present in the peritoneal aspirate. Since the latter is most likely caused by **perforations in the intestines**, it tends to involve more than one microorganism.

**Risk factors of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis**

**Cirrhosis** in itself is a major risk factor for the development of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis because of the many changes in the immune defense mechanism associated with it. It has been said that it is a form of an **acquired immunodeficiency**. Patients with cirrhosis are also found to have low protein levels in their ascitic fluid.

Although not as related to spontaneous bacterial peritonitis as compared to other secondary forms of ascitic infections, **paracentesis** is known to be one of the risk factors. Poor techniques employed during this procedure could result in the **colonization of skin flora**, such as *Staphylococcus aureus* in the supposedly sterile environment in the peritoneum. Intestinal perforation, a complication of this procedure could also result in peritonitis.

Another risk that must be looked into is **gastrointestinal hemorrhage**. Spontaneous peritonitis is known to develop from this through a shock-mediated translocation of the bacteria inside the intestinal lumen.

**Diagnosis of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis**

In order to maintain a good prognosis for patients having spontaneous bacterial peritonitis, a high index of suspicion should be employed. There should not be any delays in the performance of a **diagnostic paracentesis**. It is important to note that some non-specific signs and symptoms such as fever and pain in patients with ascites should indicate the need for further evaluation and prompt treatment.

Usually, an impression of ascitic fluid infection is immediately documented once there is an elevation of the polymorphonuclear cell count of the peritoneal fluid aspirate. From this, further workup is done to prove otherwise. Furthermore, a predominance of neutrophils in this count supports the first impression.

In most cases, clinical manifestations do not delineate patients having either spontaneous or secondary bacterial peritonitis. This puts an important role for paracentesis as a diagnostic tool in the prompt diagnosis and treatment of the specific type of peritonitis a patient may have.

**Treatment of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis**

For patients having an **elevated polymorphonuclear cell count** (above 250 cells/mm$^3$) in the peritoneal fluid, an **individualized empirical antibiotic treatment** should be started immediately. A follow-up paracentesis is usually done 2 days after the initial aspirate is studied for the number of polymorphonuclear cells.
Although many conditions such as carcinomatosis, pancreatic ascites, hemorrhage into the ascitic fluid and tuberculous peritonitis may result in high polymorphonuclear cell count without the presence of bacteria, it is important to note that physicians are suggested to start antibiotic treatment nonetheless. If the count is fluctuating instead of decreasing even after antibiotic treatment, and when diagnoses other than bacterial peritonitis are established, the regimen may be discontinued 48 hours after.

Gram staining has not been found to be helpful in narrowing down the type of antibiotic to be used in spontaneous bacterial peritonitis. However, if it does indicate a secondary bacterial peritonitis, other antibiotics that cover anaerobes are added to the regimen.

The antibiotics that are commonly used for patients with bacterial peritonitis include cefotaxime, ampicillin, tobramycin, ofloxacin, ciprofloxacin and amoxicillin-clavulanic acid.

Once the culture results are available, the antibiotic regimen should be adjusted accordingly. Treatment of the infection usually lasts 10 to 14 days. However, studies have shown that even after the first dose of cefotaxime, the peritoneal fluid culture already becomes negative. For typical presentations, a follow-up paracentesis is usually not needed once the patient responds to the treatment.

**Prevention of Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis**

It is always important to identify any present risk factors in patients having ascites. These may include a low ascitic fluid protein content (less than 1.0g/dL), variceal hemorrhages such as in liver cirrhosis and a history of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis.

A prophylactic regimen of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, norfloxacin or ceftriaxone may help in reducing the predisposition of the patient to developing the infection. Methods to control other risk factors such as hemorrhage from ruptured varices should also be employed.

**Review Question**

The correct answer can be found below the references.

An admitted patient with a diagnosis of liver cirrhosis appears to have a tense, globular abdomen. He complains of headache and fever. A diagnostic paracentesis is done. Upon examination of the fluid aspirate, it is found out that the sample has a polymorphonuclear cell count of 275 cells/mm$^2$. There is no bleeding and the aspirate appears turbid but without any frank discoloration. After a few days, the culture results arrive, indicating that *E. coli* is present in the aspirate. How would you classify the ascitic infection?

A. Spontaneous bacterial peritonitis  
B. Secondary bacterial peritonitis  
C. Monomicrobial non-neutrocytic bacterascites  
D. None of the above
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Correct answer: A
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