

Lecturio's Publishing Policy

[See online here](#)



Conflicts of Interest

Lecturio asks the authors contributing to the company's content library, also referred to as "contributors" in this document, a full disclosure of their actual and/or potential conflicts of interest regarding authorship and integrity of their scientific and/or educational publishing activity. We promote a transparency culture in which disclosure of such affiliations is encouraged and fostered ahead of potential conflicts and/or the moment of publishing. When a declared conflict of interest is seen as potentially influencing the document's scientific or educational integrity, Lecturio may decide not to publish it.

Disclosure Statement for Authors and Chief Editors

Educational and scientific contributors working with Lecturio must disclose any actual or potential conflict of interest that could bias their work and, hence, Lecturio's commitment to the scientific integrity and commercial independence of its educational and scientific-level materials. Current or potential conflicts of interest, namely previous employment, current employment, consultancies, stock ownership, honoraria, grants and/or other types of funding should be disclosed. Whether or not conflicts of interest exist, authors should always sign a disclosure statement, either listing existing or potential conflicts

of interest or stating there is no real or potential conflict of interest.

Reporting Standards and Accountability

Authors contributing to Lecturio's content library must present an accurate account of the writing, editing and publishing work performed. References should be listed in such a way that readers can thoroughly assess the article's / chapter's / book's source of information. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

Originality and Plagiarism

Both authors and chief editors should ensure that they have written entirely original work and, in case the contributors have used the work and/or words of others, that the respective information sources have been cited or quoted in the bibliographic references' section.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Acknowledgment of the work of others must consistently be given through a well organized citations section. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported document. Information obtained by private means, as in a conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties, must not be used, reported or cited without explicit permission from the source of such information. Information obtained in the course of confidential services, such as refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, must not be used without the explicit written permission of the author of the work involved in these services.

Authorship of the Articles, Chapters and Books

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of an article, chapter, book or other scientific and educational format. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors. Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of a specific editorial project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Errors in Published Works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, he/she should promptly notify Lecturio and cooperate with the editor to retract or promptly correct the document. If the editor or the publisher learns from a third party that a published work contains a significant error, it is the obligation of the editor to inform the author and for the author to promptly retract or correct the paper or provide evidence to the editor of the correctness of the original paper.

Referee's Role and Duties in the Peer-review Process

Referees should strive to ensure the scientific integrity of the document submitted for review. Whenever a referee feels the subject and/or topic of a document at hand is out of their scope of expertise or knows that its prompt review will be impossible, he/she should notify the editor and excuse him- or herself from the review process. Reviews should be conducted objectively, with a focus on the merits of the scientific content and pedagogical structure of the document being analyzed. Referees should express their views and comments clearly with supporting arguments.

Thorough Acknowledgement of Sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by a citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the document under consideration and any other published paper of which he/she has personal knowledge.

Comment and Correspondence from the General Audience

Readers and/or users of Lecturio's content library are invited to submit comments or criticisms about published articles, chapters and books to Lecturio's editorial team. The authors of documents cited in correspondence will be given an opportunity to respond and address any potential questions and/or objections.

Legal Note: Unless otherwise stated, all rights reserved by Lecturio GmbH. For further legal regulations see our [legal information page](#).